

New York University School of Medicine Department of Medicine Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine Fellowship Training Program

Fellow's Evaluation of Program

Date_____

The purpose of this survey is to assist the Division and the Training Program in Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine in their continuous efforts to improve the Program. The survey is anonymous; however you are free to identify yourself if you wish. You are encouraged to discuss any observations privately with the Program Director or the Division Chief.

Curriculum:

Have you read the "Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine Curriculum"?

<u>Yes</u> No If you have not yet read the curriculum, it is mandatory that you do so.

Does the program adhere to its objectives as outlined in the written curriculum?

<u>Yes</u> No If no, please explain and provide examples on a separate sheet.

Do you think that the program provides a curriculum that meets your expectations of the fellowship and fulfills its role in your professional development?

Yes No

Rotations

Please rate the following rotations for the indicated components:

BH Chest Chief

р п Спе	st Chief						
(Quality of teaching:						
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Quality of cases and clinical	mate	rial				
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
E	Balance of service and educ	ation:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Overall educational experier						
	poor		2	3	4	5	excellent
COMM	1						
-							
- BH Con	sult						
(Quality of teaching:						
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Quality of cases and clinical	mate	rial:				
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
E	Balance of service and educ	ation:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Overall educational experier	nce:					
	poor		2	3	4	5	excellent
COMMI	ENT:						
- BH MIC (Quality of teaching:	1	2	2			
C	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Ć	Quality of cases and clinical			2	A	~	11 .
-	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
ŀ	Balance of service and educ		•	2	4	-	
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Overall educational experier		-			_	
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
COMM	ENT:						

BH Chest Clinic Quality of teachin

Quality of teaching:						
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Quality of cases and clinical	mate	rial:				
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Balance of service and educa	ation:					
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Overall educational experien	ice:					
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
1						

COMMENT:

BH PFT/Respiratory Failure/Sleep Ouality of teaching:

Quality of teaching:						
poo	r 1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Quality of cases and clinic	al mate	rial:				
poo	r 1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Balance of service and edu	cation:					
poo	r 1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Overall educational experi	ence:					
poo	r 1	2	3	4	5	excellent
COMMENT:						

BH Asthma Clinic

	Quality of teaching:						
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
	Quality of cases and clinical	mate	erial:				
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
	Balance of service and educa	ation					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
	Overall educational experien	ce:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
СОММ	ENT:						

VA Consult/Chief Resident

(Quality of teaching:						
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Quality of cases and clinical	mate	rial:				
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
]	Balance of service and educa	ation					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Overall educational experier	ice:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
СОММ	ENT:						

VA ICU

Quality of teaching:						
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Quality of cases and clinical	l mate	rial:				
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Balance of service and educ	ation:					
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Overall educational experies	nce:					
poor		2	3	4	5	excellent
COMMENT:						

VA Clinic

(Quality of teaching:						
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Quality of cases and clinical	mate	erial:				
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
]	Balance of service and educa	ation					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
(Overall educational experien	ce:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent

COMMENT:

Tisch Pulmonary Consult

Quality of teaching:							
ро	or	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Quality of cases and clini	ical 1	mater	ial:				
ро	or	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Balance of service and ec	ducat	tion:					
ро	or	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Overall educational expe	rienc	ce:					
po	or	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
COMMENT:							

Tisch MICU

Quality of teaching:						
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Quality of cases and clinica	l mate	rial:				
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Balance of service and educ	ation:					
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Overall educational experie	nce:					
poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
COMMENT:						

Tisch SICU/CVCU

Quality of teachi	ng:						
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Quality of cases	and clinical	mate	rial:				
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Balance of service	e and educa	ation:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Overall education	nal experien	ce:					
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
COMMENT:	-						

HJD SCU

Quali	ity of tea	ching							
			poor		2	3	4	5	excellent
Quali	ity of cas	ses an							
			poor		2	3	4	5	excellent
Balar	nce of se	rvice			•	2	4	-	11
0	11 1	· ·	poor		2	3	4	5	excellent
Overa	all educa	ational			2	3	4	5	
COMMENT.	•		роон	r I	2	3	4	3	excellent
Research (O	verall)								
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	exce	ellent	
COMMENT:	•								
Pulmonary	Procedu	ires (Bronch	ioscopy	, chest	tubes, e	tc.) Exp	erience	
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	exce	ellent	
COMMENT:	·								
Critical Car	e Proce	dures	(Lines	s, Intub	ations e	etc.) Exp	perience	;	
	poor	1	2	3	4	5	exce	ellent	
COMMENT.	·								

Please acknowledge any particularly excellent rotations:

Conferences

Please rate the overall quality of the conferences below:

Pulmonary Critical Care Grand Rounds	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Summer Core Curriculum (Clinical)	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Summer Core Curriculum(Research)	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Friday Clinical Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Friday Research Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
TB Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Journal Clubs	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Chest pathology Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
BH Thoracic Surgery/ Oncology Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Chest CT Radiology Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
Tisch Lung Cancer Interdisciplinary Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
ICU Physiology Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent
ILD Conference	poor	1	2	3	4	5	excellent

Please acknowledge any particularly excellent conferences:

Evaluation and Feedback

_

In the past year, did you meet with the Program Director to discuss your evaluations by the faculty?

____Yes ____No

How often?

Do you feel that you received appropriate:

Positive feedback?: _____ Yes _____ No

Negative feedback? _____ Yes _____ No

Advice on career planning and meeting professional development goals?:

____Yes ____No

If the answer to any of the preceding questions is "No", please explain below or on a separate sheet.

Career Counseling

Has career counseling been adequate? _____ Yes _____ No

Who has been your major source of guidance in career counseling?

For those fellows who already have jobs for next year, how did you go about your search?

Future Direction

What changes in the program would you suggest in the areas of:

Supervision and teaching of pulmonary/critical care medicine?

Supervision and mentoring of research?

Vhat is your favorite rotation, and why?
Vhat is your least favorite rotation, and why?
ny other comments or suggestions?

Thank you very much for your help!

Doreen J. Addrizzo-Harris MD Assistant Professor of Medicine Program Director, Pulmonary/ CCM Fellowship